

Question 3

What specific aspects of this vision would we emphasize as objectives in our community to reflect key forest values and resources important to us?

Objectives	Group	Description of discussion points	Theme	Local/ Provincial
	1	Investment- get land base back locally like Wells Gray Community Forest which enables expansion of local value assessed potential on each hectare	tenure	prov
	1	Recognize the interdependency of all the industries/recreation/values when planning for sustainable forest management- do not just make it all trees- recognize the interconnectedness	Education/Communication	local
	1	Participate in planning	Education/Communication	local
	1	Review of land use planning areas, ground review of activities, updating	Crown Relationship	prov
	1	MFLNRO needs to take back land use planning	local decision	local
	2	Getting provincial stumpage revenue back to community	Revenue	local/prov
	2	Resource revenue going back to licencees tied back to communities	Revenue	prov
	2	Getting representation of corporate / government social responsibility back to community	Crown Relationship	prov
	2	Ability to make government decisions locally	Local Decision	local
	2	Ability for UBCM (more representation for rural communities required) to lobby for communities interest at government level.	advocacy	local
	2	This should put more decision making power at the local level.	Local Decision	local
	3	Develop a local vision for the North Thompson Valley Forest Lands; State where we are going and what we want the forest sector to be; The Valley (Clearwater and surrounding communities) is the Hub for forest; Discussions explored are we a region or a sub-region. It was concluded we do not want to be view as a sub-region.	local decision	local
	3	We want to develop a Forestry Hub to counter the movement of jobs and decisions into Kamloops; The valley is being drained (jobs, management decisions, services, etc.) in to Kamloops. Examples - Parks' area supervisor, Forestry's District Manager, School Superintendent; For the main, the major tenure holders are absentee forest managers. No residential staff reflecting a low priority to be part of the community	local decision	local
	3	Local Empowerment of Resource Decision Making.	local decision	local
	3	More Local Control of Resources and consultation in resource decision making.	Crown Relationship	prov
	3	Decisions are made elsewhere and decision makers assume they know what's on the mind of the residents. The decision makers do not understand the implications of decisions on the community.	local decision	local
	3	Decisions do not reflect the local uniqueness of the Valley, the people and relationships of forestry with other community values, eg. Tourism and recreation.	local decision	local
	3	A short time ago, the Campbell administration talked about the BC Heartland. Rural communities and resources was to be the economic engine of the Province. No one has walked the talk.		
	4	Investment strategies to encourage local businesses, incentives	Investment/Financial	Prov
	4	Co-gen plant	economy	Prov
	4	Post & Rail manufacture	economy	Local
	4	Processing plant for NTFP	economy	Local
	4	Re-invest in forest rec sites	Crown Relationship	Prov
	4	Expand local education programs	Education/Communication	Prov
	4	Increase/continue fuel management program	Community Need	Prov
	4	Increased multiple use on local landbase	Crown Relationship	Prov
	4	Review tenuring process/opportunities for all resources' more opportunity for overlap	tenure	Prov
	4	Xmas tree tenure	tenure	Prov
	4	Expansion of front counter opportunities	Crown Relationship	Prov
	4	Regulation review (Prov level); increased enforcement of prime value expectations	Crown Relationship	Prov
	4	Streamlining of regulatory processes to allow new businesses	Crown Relationship	Prov
	4	Expansion of the info centre - tourism	community need	Local
	5	management of the watershed locally	local decision	local
	5	diversification	local decision	local
	5	Proactive management of our parks	Crown Relationship	prov
	5	Diversifying to different tenure systems not having resource tied up with just major licensees	tenure	prov
	5	More community type tenures as they have more opportunities to diversify and meet local needs	tenure	prov
	5	Need to have a focus on forestry education for young people to realize the opportunities in forestry	Education/Communication	local
	5	Diversity of forest dependent incomes, business's and lifestyle	community need	local
	5	Steadier opportunities rather than the boom bust current reality	economy	local
	5	The forest industry needs to market itself as a viable job opportunity	Education/Communication	local
	5	Needs to be more money and a steady flow of money to be sustainable for jobs and income opportunities	economy	local
	5	Look to have government take on the reforestation role rather than the industry doing it who are subject to market fluctuations and economic downturn	Crown Relationship	prov
	5	Have the government take responsibility to manage the public resource not offload to the licensees. Have government and locals manage their own backyards	Crown Relationship	prov
	6	Keeping some of the revenue from stumpage at the community level where forest company is not manufacturing locally - ie. If mill shuts down then a % of stumpage stays in the community	revenue	prov
	6	More ability to have input locally into management over local forest land	Community Need	local
	6	Need to bring government offices back to the rural areas where the forests are	Community Need	local
	6	Need ability for local initiatives to go directly to higher level of government to start ball rolling on new ideas - need office of new initiatives in Victoria that has direct link to minister responsible	Crown Relationship	prov
	6	Key is to support a healthy, diverse local community	Community Need	local
	7	See greater local dividend form the forest resource.	Revenue	prov
	7	See greater local control of the forest resource.	Local Decision	local
	7	Improved coordination of activities on the landbase.	Local Decision	local
	7	Clear local vision.	Community Need	local